May 10 1972 Approved For Release 2001/03/04 CTA-RDF80 01 6018000320350059 0 E 4975

ily-grams" are allowed only four times during each 60-day patrol, and there can be no replies from the radio-silent, proviing ship. The night's realistic training exercise com-

The night's realistic training exercise completed, the Carver surfaced shortly after midnight.

Clumps of tiny, phosphorescent sea-creatures glowed like firefiles, and even Roman candles, in the surf, racing across the bow as the Carver headed homeward to Norfolk.

And a lone, leaping dolphin gleaned in the ship's light off the port side as the lookout, Seaman Robert Abreu, 21, of Westford, Mass., confided he was homeslek for his famliy and his girl.

lly and his girl.
"My birthday was Oct. 12," he said, "and for the last three years, I've spent it on pa-

troi."

"Tough luck!" the newsman agreed. "But at least you have much in common with Columbus."

PRESIDENT NIXON'S ACTIONS IN VIETNAM APPROVED BY TWO ARIZONA NEWSPAPERS

HON. JOHN J. RHODES

OF ARIZONA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Wednesday, May 10, 1972

Mr. RHODES. Mr. Speaker, both of the major Phoenix newspapers, the Arizona Republic and the Phoenix Gazette, printed excellent editorials today regarding President Nixon's most recent actions in Southeast Asia.

I would like to share the wisdom of these editorials with my colleagues, and suggest we all listen to this reasoned approach to the present situation. I therefore, insert both editorials in the Recomat this point.

[From the Arlzona Republic, May 10, 1972]
NIXON'S DUAL ESCALATION

President Nixon's speech Monday night undoubtedly escalated American participation in the Vietnam war. What many of the President's listeners didn't realize, however, is that the speech also escalated the drive for peace. It probably was the bravest speech ever made by an American president, and it showed the only course that promises n honaorable end to the war.

orable end to the war.

Milling of North Vietnamese harbors involves a calculated risk. But it also promises to shut off supplies for North Vietnam, and 85 per cent of the tanks, artillery and guns used so effectively by North Vietnam are delivered by ship.

At the time he announced his blockade of North Vietnam, the President also went farther than he has ever gone to meet the peace demands of the Hanoi government.

With the complete support of liberal opinion in this country, Hanoi has repeatedly sald it would return the American prisoners if the United States (a) stopped fighting and (b) announced a fixed date by which all Ameriean military forces would be withdrawn from Victnam.

Monday night President Nixon said he would agree to withdraw all American military forces within four months of (a) the return of American prisoners and (b) the establishment of an internationally supervised ecase-fire.

So it is obvious that President Nixon has now come very close to accepting the Hanoi demands for an end to the war. He threw in the blockade for two reasons, it seems to us. First, he will have something to bargain with if Hanoi agrees to meaningful talks. Second,

the South Vietnamese will be in a much stronger position to continue the war if enemy supplies of oil, tanks, guns, planes and ammunition are cut off.

There is, of course, a risk involved in ordering the interdiction of enemy supplies within, the territorial waters of North Vietnam. What happens when the first non-combatant freighter, say a Russian ship, starts up the river for Haiphong? U.S. planes may bomb it, or U.S. ships may warn the Russian captain that he is entering a minefield. In either ease, the supplies will not be delivered and the provisions of international law will have been compiled with.

The President's hand was, of course, forced by the new misslie and artillery attacks on American military bases in South Vietnam. Had he made no response the 80,000 American troops still in South Vietnam (most of them supply troops) might well have been faced with a Dunkerque of appalling proportions.

Cutting the Russian arms supply to North Vietnam assures the American command that it can continue its orderly withdrawal without running the risk of a slaughter on the beaches. To have done less would have made President Nixon guilty of neglecting his duty (1) to the American soldiers still in Vietnam, (2) to the prisoners still being used as pawns by the North Vietnamese, and (3) to the 17 million South Vietnamese whose capture is the goal of the Communist regime of North Vietnam.

[From the Phoenix Gazette, May 9, 1972]
TO WIN THE PEACE

When predator nations are on the prowl, most often peace can be achieved only by fighting for it.

Or by showing beyond even a fool's doubtlng the willness to fight.

America's Quaker President, whose very religion blinds him to the eause of peace, who has gone the last mile with an arrogant enemy in seeking it, who has offered compromises so deeply cutting that they have lost him the good will of the far right in his own party—this President last night told his people, and the people of the whole world, that he intends to fight for peace.

Not for a false and transient peace born of surrender, that could have no other ultimate end but greater war.

A peace of honor, born of respect, sustained by strength.

In President Nixon's address to the nation last night was the impliest knowledge that there are two ways in which a great nation can fight. One is to win a victory. The other is to win a peace. The first seeks to humble and destroy the enemy. The second seeks only to bring the enemy to end the fighting.

By ordering the blockade and mining of North Vietnam's ports and the rail lines which bring in war supplies. Mr. Nixon entered into a great gamble that the Soviet Union would not react aggressively. But by going no farther at this time, he signaled to anyone who might listen that it is peace he seeks—not conquest and not a victory of conquest.

It should not be lost on anyone, and surely by now must not be lost upon the Communist world, that Mr. Nixon has meant what he has said from the beginning of his administration: He does not intend to surrender in this war; he does not intend to stand aside so that our ally can be first humiliated, then destroyed.

In our opinion Mr. Nixon has now done what President Kennedy and Johnson should have done, each in his turn.

Left undone in the proper time, it made the doing now vastly more difficult.

But no less necessary.

ESCALATION, AMERICAN OPTIONS AND PRESIDENT NIXON WAR MOVES

HON. RONALD V. DELLUMS

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES .

Wednesday, May 10, 1972

Mr. DELLUMS. Mr. Speaker, today I am joining with several of my colleagues in sponsoring a resolution of impeachment of President Riehard M. Nixon.

Given the President's actions in reeent days—indeed, over the entire term of his office—and given the type of information now available about the options he faced, I have eoneluded that he is guilty of both unconstitutional procedure and of crimes against humanity.

President Nixon thinks he can get away with anything. I do not. The real question is whether the Congress will justify his contemptuous opinion of it, or whether Congress will start doing its job. The President fools only himself in believing his grandstanding ean solve problems. Those of us in Congress must have a greater sense of reality—and a great sense of responsibility both to the Americans who are pawns in Mr. Nixon's games, and to the Vietnamese whose society we are turning into a smoking ruin.

I am amazed by the eynical irresponsibility with which the President presumes to blackmail the American people. Is he so obsessed with his personal prestige and power, is he so removed from the human realities of his decisions, that he no longer cares how many lives he endangers through his cruck and reekless actions? After wantonly exposing American troops and installations and the lives of American POW's, he then tries to use their endangered position for which he alone is responsible—as a weapon to silence criticism. After flounting international law and daring the Russians to forget about their national honor, their ability to help their allies, their fears of appearing a pitiful, helpless giant. I, for one, do not think the Russians are more saintly than the Americans-I think they will respond as we have, with aggressive belligerence. And their vietims will be the same innocent people trapped in Indochina.

In the last year of the Second World War, after the Germans knew they were defeated, they went on an orgy of killing that exceeded the horrors of the earlier part of the war, haunting the eon seience of mankind ever sinee. This is the choice that faces us now. No longer able to impose our will in Southeast Asia, will our removal be in the same frenzied manner? Or will the American people get down to the job of preventing the needless sacrifice of lives and of preserving the sense of honor that is siekened by senseles and cruel destruction?

In the 48 hours since Mr. Nixon made his speech to the American public, my offices here in Washington and in California have been deluged with ealls and telegrams responding to the President's M = 152,528s = 199,160

Weicker Shifts Position, Backs Cutting Off Asian War Funds

Jr., R-Conn., reacting to Prei think what our next military accomment until he has more in on." dent Nixon's decision to mine ture fails to bail out the South formation. North Vietnam harbors, an- Vietnamese Army. nounced Tuesday that he will off funds for Ameican opera- in this war," Cotter said. tions in Southeast Asia after the end of the year.

"It will be said that this reaction eares not a whit for either the North Vietnamese or the South Vietnamese. That is exactly what, it is intended to say," Weicker said.

In other reactions from state leaders, U.S. Sen. Abraham A. lead to a dangerous confrontation with the Soviet Union and Republican chairman, said Nixon's "courage to face the issue proud to be an American.

Reverses Earlier Stand

voted against Senate efforts to cut off funds, said in a state-ment from Washington, "I intend to support such legislation as may come before the Senate which would preclude further sion advances" the objective of cessful, and despite increased funding for American opera- a withdrawal from Indochina. tions in and over Indochina after Dec. 31 of this year, or at the end of the four-mouth period

however, reiterated his support frontation with other Commun-Condemns Move of Nixon in all the steps he has ist countries. taken in Vietnam to date, ineluding those announced Mon-Kinney, R-4th Dist., said Mon-gress from the 3d District, eouwith him a primary concernaover the withdrawal in safety of American troops from South Vietnam."

U.S. Rep. William R. Cotter

lease of American prisoners of cratic president.

Ribicoff, D-Conn., said he is Premier Nikita Khrushchev did Nixon's decision is "a rash and guage." afraid Nixon's decision could in the 1962 Cuban missile crisis. dangerous act. He said, however, that most of | Hilsman added that he/retion with the Soviet Union and his eongressional colleagues signed from his former State China. J. Brian Gaffney, state would urge the North Vietnam- Department position because he esc to accept Nixon's offer of disagreed with the Vietnam polwithdrawing all U.S. troops aft-licy of former President Lyndon and act upon it" makes him er an internationally supervised B. Johnson. cease fire.

of Vietnamization."

Esealation Cited

3d Dist., said the decision to tional Democratic Policy Comcontained in the President's mine North Vietnamese ports mittee, said the Nixon decision peace proposal, whichever date "has dramatically escalated the "gravely threatens any prospect stakes" in the war, which could for an end to U.S. involvement The Connecticut Republican, easily lead to a dangerous eon- in Vietnam."

He said Central Intelligence Weicker, who had previously often against Scuate efforts to the figure and the "death of this myth ese will be able to bring in more out off funds against Scuate efforts to meant the "death of this myth ese will be able to bring in more out off funds against." than 8,000 tons of war supplies a U.S. Rep. John S. Monagan, day over its rail and road net-D-5th Dist., said it is "question- work even if a blockade of able that the President's deci- North Vietnamese poris is sue-

> Joseph D. Duffey, a Yale pro-U.S. Rep. Robert Giaimo, D-fessor and member of the Na-

John Ratliff, Socialist Work-U.S. Rep. Stewart B. Me-lers party candidate for Conday night, "because I share day night's announcement by demncd Nixon's move to mine Haiphong harbor and pledged that he would continue to build massive demonstrations "demanding the immediate with

drawal" of all U.S. forces from Indoehina.

The Manehester Community College Faculty Senate voted 25-20 Tuesday on a resolution eensuring President Nixon's ac-

"We are shocked and angered By WILLIAM COCKERHAM | D-1st Dist., a staunch opponent | Nixon was "the gravest move of by President Nixon's drastic es-U.S. Sen. Lowell P. Weieker of the war, said, "I hesitate to the entire war." He declines, calation of the war and call for

They also called for strong Gov. Meskill said the Ameri-Congressional action "to limit" "It is apparent that Nixon is can people should support Nix-the President's unconstitutional now support legislation to cut unable to end our participation on's position and said no more. dsurpation of war powers in ac-Lt. Gov. T. Clark Hull, howevertons which jeopardize our na-Cotter said he would continue er, said the escalation of the tional security and bring us to his own efforts to end the war in war is "wrong," whether it is serious confrontation with the Vietnam, subject only to the re- done by a Republican or Demo- Soviet Union and Peoples Republic of China."

war.

U.S. Rep. Robert H. Steele, ant secretary of state for Far English at Manchester Companion on mine the harbors will expose the property of the Dem-votes against the resolution of the property of the Dem-votes against the resolution of the property ert "enormous pressure" on So- ocratic nomination for the 2nd were not over the message, viet leaders not to back down as District congressional seat, said but the harshness of the lan-

Approved For Release 2001/03/04/ ርባታይ RDP 80 ጭ ቸው ከRo

1969 ADVICE TO NIXON

CIA Doubtful on Port Mining

By MORTON KONDRACKE and THOMAS B. ROSS

Chicago Sun-Times Service

ger said it had been "carefully President Nixon was advised by the Central Intelligence Agency in 1969 that the type of action he now has ordered to cut off supplies to North Victnam would not work.

"Within two or three months," the CIA declared in a secret memo, "North Victnam and its allies would be able to implement alternative procedures for maintaining the flow of essential economic and military imports."

The State Department and the Defense Department were less pessimistic. But both conceded that the effort to prevent resupply through alternative land routes from China would involve much heavier bombing and a much higher risk of civilian casualties.

The estimates of the three agencies are contained in National Security Memorandum 1 (NSSM-1), a secret study of the war compiled by the President's national security adviser Henry A. Kissinger.

. Gravel Reads Memo

This section of the memo was read into the Congressional Record on the Senate floor yesterday by Sen. Mike Gravel, D-Alaska, although two weeks ago he had been blocked by Republicans from doing so. Copies of the memo also have been obtained and their contents reported by some newspapers recently.

Asked about the CIA's gloomy forecast at a press conference yesterday, Kissinger said it had been "earefully considered" but that Nixon also had before him recent and "much more detailed studies," which he implied were more optimistic.

In the 1969 study, Kissinger asked: "What are current views on proportion of waressential imports that could come into NVN (North Viet.,

nam) over the rail or road lines from China, even if allimports by sea were denied and strong effort even made to interdict ground transport?"

The CIA replied: "All the war-essential imports could be brought into North Vietnam over rail lines or roads from China in the event that imports by sea were successfully denied..."

Pessimistic View

"Almost four years of air war in North Vietnam have shown — as did the Korean war — that, although air strikes will destroy transport facilities, equipment and supplies, they cannot successfully interdict the flow of supplies because much of the damage can frequently be repaired within hours...

"An intensive and sustained air interdiction program could have a good chance of reducing the northern rail capacity by at least half. However, roads are less vulnerable to interdiction, and waterways even less so . . .

"In addition to the overland capacity, an airlift from chinese airfields could potentially provide a means for importing a large volume of high-priority goods. Moreover, total interdiction of seaborne imports would be difficult because shallow-draft lighters could be used to unload cargo from oceangoing ships anchored in waters outside the mined major harbor areas."

The State Department commented: "we do not believe that the capacity of the DRV-PRC (North Vietnam-China) road and rail network is great enough to permit an adequate flow of supplies in the face of an intense day and night bombing campaign...

"On the other hand, one important point should be kept in mind. The North Victnamese surprised many observers, and confounded many predictions, by holding together and simultaneously sending everinereasing amounts of supplies and personnel into the South during 3½ years of bombing

"With this experience in mind, there is little reason to believe that new bombing will

accomplish what previous bombing failed to do, unless it is conducted with much greater intensity and readiness to defy criticism and risk of escalation."

The Defense Department deelared: "An interdiction campaign ... when employed in conjunction with denial of sea imports, would, in large part, isolate Hanoi and Haiphong from each other and from the rest of the country."

Decision to Mine Harbors Made Long

By JEREMIAH O'LEARY Star Staff Writer

The die had been cast for the decision to mine North Vietnam coast. Vietnam's ports and to smash On Friday, May 5, at 4:10 her supply and communication, Nixon boarded his helitions facilities long before the copter and flew off to his orders were flashed to the fleet at 2 p.m. Monday or be-fore President Nixon told the ponder the final decision. world that evening what he had done.

There is no way to fix the precise hour or the exact day precise time Nixon made his decision. Very likely the possi-

bility of having to decide to do something drastic began toclose in on the President Easter Sunday when he first knew for sure that North Vietnam had launched a full-seale offensive across the Demilitarized Zone.

He ran out of time at some point last weekend when it became evident that the Russians had done nothing to restrain their ally and when the administration concluded that the all-out offensive had become a threat to the safety

of the 60,000 Americans still in South Vietnam.

Some of the timetable of the decision-making process was disclosed yesterday by Dr. Henry A. Kissinger, the President's national security affairs adviser. Other details became known from other sources at the White House with the thunpartment and the Pentagon.

the White Housew ith the thunersdtorm speed of the Cuban

missile confrontation.

Nixon's decision, on the other hand, was made after nearly a decade of war and after six months of trying to set up new meetings with the enemy to end the conflict.

"It was not sudden, and evweighed," a White House weighde," a White House Source said. "For several days, the process was one of constant thinking and talking.

forces although he has an entire Marine division poised on Okinawa and at sea off the

Camp David retreat in Mary-

The option of mining the harbors and sealing off North Vietnam from supplies by a naval cordon was not new and the means were ready to Nixon's hand. The idea had been

advanced 10 years ago but never used.

The President spent a lot of time alone, walking the solitary Mountain paths and thinking deeply on the magnitude of what he had to do. At Camp David he wrote part of the 18-minute speech that eventually was delivered Monday night.

An inside source said the section he concentrated on over the weekend was the part in which he addressed special session by meeting with Kismessages to the leaders of the singer and Connally for some nations most involved.

Portions of the decision were made in the mountains. There Monday morning. The participants had to be notified.

One of these was Secretary of State William P. Rogers, official European visit.

The crisis did not descend on in Reykjavik, London and Lux- gagement were set forth. embourg and was about to spend a Sunday boating on the Rhine when word reached him in Bonn to come home.

> ings with the West Germans, the French, the Italians and the Spaniards and lauded at Andrews Air Force Base, Sunday night at about the same time Nixon got back to the White House from Camp David.

At 9:10 a.m. Monday, the security council gathered in the Cabinet Room. There were no outsiders present. With Nix-Nixon ruled out the use of on were Vice President Spiro nuclear weapons. He also de- Agnew, Rogers, Secretary of cided against the re- Defense Melvin Laird and introduction of U.S. ground George A. Lincoln, director of

Approved For Released 200 103/04 bers of the council.

As always at NSC meetings, and Admiral Thomas H. Moorer, chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. Treasury Secretary John B. Connally and press spokesman Ronald Ziegler also sat in.

Basic Decision

It has not been divulged whether Nixon went into the security council with a decision made or whether he still kept options open and sought the council's help.

The most informed speculation, based on Nixon's style of conducting the presidency, is that he had his mind made up on the basic decision. This implies that the council had the role of refining his decision with suggestions, ideas, cau-tionary expressions. If there was dissent, it has not surfaced.

(The New York Times News Service reported today that Nixon followed that NSC final thoughts. During the previous week, the Times said, Nixon had talked often with those two advisers-with was to be a meeting of the with those two advisers—with National Security Council Kissinger about strategy, with Conally about philosophy and America's role in the world.

By 2 p.m., Moorer and ealled back abruptly from an Laird had relayed the detailed orders to the sizable U.S. Rogers had made his stops Navy force. The rules of en-

Nixon went back to his speech and worked on it through the afternoon. The White House said he wrote Rogers canceled his meet- most of it himself as he does when he has something of im-

portance to announce.

His speech-writers hovered around and did some touching up but it was a Nixon speech, indentifiable as such by simplicity of plurase and a disconeerting directness when the one-two-three of decision emerges.

Ulcers Triggered

At 3 p.m., the White House press office contacted the radio-television networks to ask for air time and the late edi-161A RDP80-01601R000300350059-0 informed Americans that the

President would address the

nation at 9 p.m. This produced also present were Kissinger, the standard seurrying of tech-CIA Director Richard Helms nicians and triggered the uleers of program directors across the land.

At 5 p.m., the White House announced that the President had asked Congressional leaders to meet with him at 8 p.m., an hour before air time, so he could brief them on what he intended to say. The Demoeratie and Republican leaders of the Senate and House came as invited, heard from Nixon for a time and were turned over to Moorer, Laird and Rogers who answered questions. Nixon went to the Oval Room to get ready.

While he was speaking, the Navy was already at work seeding the waters of North Vietnam with mines. It was early in the day on the other side of the world and the decision-maker went to bed while his orders were being earried